

Date submitted (Mountain Standard Time): 5/16/2019 10:17:26 AM

First name: Anon

Last name: FS-Webmaster

Organization:

Title:

Official Representative/Member Indicator:

Address1:

Address2:

City:

State:

Province/Region:

Zip/Postal Code:

Country:

Email: /O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=FCB13D10E1D0444CAE829C23BDD791AD-WEBMASTER

Phone:

Comments:

FW: [Website feedback] Alternative D of the Revised Draft Forest Plan for the Custer Gallatin National Forest

-----Original Message-----

From: admin@example.gov [mailto:admin@example.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, April 23, 2019 9:08 AM

To: FS-Webmaster <webmaster@fs.fed.us>

Subject: [Website feedback] Alternative D of the Revised Draft Forest Plan for the Custer Gallatin National Forest

Lisa Long (not verified) (lmitatovich@yahoo.com) sent a message using the contact form at <http://www.fs.fed.us/about-agency/contact-us>.

Message

I am writing to show support for the wilderness recommendations in Alternative D of the Revised Draft Forest Plan; however, they must be improved by adding the entire 230,000 wild, roadless acres of the Gallatin Range as Recommend Wilderness in the final forest plan. The following three points also expand on the importance for the wild Gallatin Range.

1. The plan should prohibit all motorized and mechanized uses, and any other activities not consistent with wilderness protection, in the Recommended Wilderness areas so as to preserve their wilderness qualities until Congress acts on the wilderness recommendations.
  2. The draft plan has little direction for administering the Absaroka-Beartooth and Lee Metcalf Wildernesses. The current wilderness management plans allows destructively large groups of up to 25 head of stock (horses and mules) and 15 people in most areas. Research shows that impacts increase significantly when group-sizes exceed eight head of stock and 12 people. The Forest Service should reduce group size limits accordingly so as to protect all Wildernesses on the forest from harm. Further, the forest plan should put an end to ecologically destructive fish stocking in naturally fishless wilderness lakes, which significantly alters the areas' natural conditions.
  3. The plan must address the issue of human and pack animal feces contamination of lakes and streams on the Beartooth Plateau in the Absaroka-Beartooth Wilderness. Eliminating fish stocking would likely go a long way toward solving this problem, but additional measures must be included if needed.
- Lastly, there are enough wilderness areas where humans can enjoy and we need to protect as much wilderness from human activity as possible. Wildlife need their own areas to thrive and humans keep encroaching on their world. We need to protect them because we share this earth with them and they have no voice to speak for themselves.

I appreciate your consideration and please do what is right for the animals and preserve our wild areas.

Thank you!

Lisa Long